

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,545	03/28/2001	Ron H. Niswander	43050	5242	
7	590 12/29/2004		EXAMINER		
John W. Jones	S	•	KUHNS, ALLAN R		
Locke Liddell of 3400 Chase To	- -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
600 Travis Stre	600 Travis Street				
Houston, TX	77002-3095		DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/762,545	NISWANDER, RON H.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Allan Kuhns	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the right apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	11 October 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alle	•	itters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	•	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	b by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	
	-/ <u>-</u>		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/762,545

Art Unit: 1732

1.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

Page 2

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2.Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 10-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/25985 (Horn et al.) as set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 3, 5-6 and 10-15 in the previous Office action. It is submitted that the IMR enhancing compound of Horn et al. is sufficient to reduce removal force and to permit removal of the article, as in claims 16 and 18, since the translation for this reference discloses the utility of including what is an IMR enhancer compound at column 19, line 15.

3.Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/25985 (Horn et al.) as applied to claims 1, 3, 5-6, 10-16 and 18 above, and further in view of Clatty as set forth in the previus Office action.

4.Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slocum et al. (5,019,317) as set forth in the previous Office action.

5.Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6.Applicant's arguments filed October 11, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Concerning the Horn reference, applicant argues that the instant claims specifically recite "a fatty acid condensation product" and that this requires a reaction where water is formed. The examiner is in agreement that such a

Application/Control Number: 09/762,545

Art Unit: 1732

reaction represents a conventional condensation reaction. But applicant, at page 4, lines 20-21 of the specification, includes as condensation products those which would include a reaction of a fatty acid with an amine, which appears to be taught or suggested by the Horn reference. This is why the examiner has maintained the rejection based on the Horn reference. For this reason, the examiner does not believe that applicant's specification has been misconstrued. Applicant's arguments with regard to ammonia are noted by the examiner, but it appears to the examiner that ammonia was not explicitly discussed in formulating a ground of rejection. Applicant further argues that the fatty acid condensation product in Horn functions as a surfactant, not as an IMR agent. But, to the examiner, since the fatty acid condensation product is present in Horn, it would inherently tend to also function as an IMR agent or enhancer.

Applicant's arguments concerning the Clatty reference are noted by the examiner; the examiner believes that Clatty teaches what it was relied upon to teach.

With regard to Slocum, applicant argues that the organic isocyanate component has already been prepared prior to introduction of the isocyanate reactive component containing zinc carboxylate. But it is the position of the examiner that these claims at issue preclude the formation of a prepolymer. Applicant also questions why one of ordinary skill in the art would conclude that the zinc carboxylate of Slocum would function as an IMR enhancer? One of ordinary skill in the art would come to such conclusion because the Slocum reference touts this composition as one which facilitates the release of a product from a bare metal mold.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,545

Art Unit: 1732

6.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS

PRIMARY EXAMINER AU (732

Page 4

12-27-04

alle R. Kils